Application No. Applicant(s) 10/582.449 BOLZ, STEPHAN Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Benjamin M. Baldridge 2831 Status of Application: 71 All Participants: (3) _____. (1) Benjamin M. Baldridge. (4) _____. (2) Mark Weichselbaum, Reg. No. 43, 248. Date of Interview: 17 December 2009 Time: 9:20 AM Type of Interview: ☐ Video Confe Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Tyes ⊠ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: N/A Claims discussed: 14. 25 Prior art documents discussed: N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner FAXed text of proposed examiner's amendment to Mr. Weichselbaum on 14 December, 2009. After consulting with his clients, Mr. Weichselbaum gave permission to proceed with the amendment as proposed on 17 December 2009. Part III. 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Benjamin M Baldridge/ Examiner, Art Unit 2831

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)